

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/679,631	,631 10/06/2003		Henrik Bengtsson	6516.200-US	3942	
23650	7590	06/29/2005		EXAMINER		
NOVO NO	ORDISK,	INC.		MENDEZ, MANUEL A		
PATENT D	DEPARTM	ENT				
100 COLLI	EGE ROAI	D WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETO	ON, NJ 08	8540		3763		
				B	_	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9	P				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/679,631	BENGTSSON, HENRIK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·				
	Manuel Mendez	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication	on.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		(
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		/				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, -,	, , ,	d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		uniteration Nie					
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	•						
application from the International Burea	-	eceived iii tiiis ivational Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , ,	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/679,631

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alex et al., in view of Gross et al., and Kochamba.

Alex et al., discloses a housing, a mounting surface adapted for application to the skin of a subject, the mounting surface comprising a first portion and a second portion, adhesive means arranged in the first portion of the mounting surface, a needle comprising a distal pointed end adapted to penetrate the skin of the subject, wherein the second portion is moveable between a first position in which the pointed end of the needle is arranged within the housing relative to the second portion and a second position in which the pointed end of the needle projects through the needle aperture.

Alex et al., does not expressly disclose adhesive means on the second portion of the mounting surface. However, there is evidence in the art that demonstrates the conventionality of using adhesive throughout the entire base of a patch. In figures 3-6, the Gross et al., patent shows various embodiments of a drug delivery device having adhesive (6) in the entire base of the apparatuses. Based in this observation, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the injection device disclosed by Alex et al.,

with adhesive in the first and second portions of the mounting surface would have been considered obvious in view of the conventionality of this enhancement.

The examiner of record has included the **Kochamba patent** to demonstrate the conventionality of drug delivery devices equipped with mechanisms that adhere the skin surface to the mounting surface, and consequently, force the skin to be raised from its natural level. The examiner would like to point out that whether it is an adhesive on the mounting surface that pulls the skin or a suction force, the result is basically the same. Accordingly, it is concluded that adhesives and suction would have been considered equivalent arts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

MM